

1 Stephen M. Doniger, Esq. (SBN 179314)
stephen@donigerlawfirm.com
2 Scott A. Burroughs, Esq. (SBN 235718)
scott@donigerlawfirm.com
3 Trevor W. Barrett, Esq. (SBN 287174)
tbarrett@donigerlawfirm.com
4 Justin M. Gomes, Esq. (SBN 301793)
jgomes@donigerlawfirm.com
5 DONIGER / BURROUGHS
6 603 Rose Avenue
7 Venice, California 90291
8 Telephone: (310) 590-1820
9 Attorneys for Plaintiff

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
11 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12 CITY PRINTS, LLC, a New York
13 Corporation,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.
16

17 METRO 22, INC., a New York
18 Corporation, individually, and d/b/a
"DIVA COLLECTION";
19 BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY
20 DIRECT CORP., a California
21 Corporation; ZULILY, INC., a
22 Washington Corporation; and DOES 1
through 10,

23 Defendants.
24

Case No.:

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR:

1. COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT;
2. VICARIOUS AND/OR
CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT
INFRINGEMENT

Jury Trial Demanded

1 Plaintiff CITY PRINTS, LLC, by and through its undersigned attorneys,
2 hereby prays to this honorable Court for relief as follows:

3 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4 1. This action arises under the Copyright Act of 1976, Title 17 U.S.C., § 101
5 *et seq.*

6 2. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and
7 1338 (a) and (b).

8 3. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) and
9 1400(a) in that this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the acts and
10 omissions giving rise to the claims occurred.

11 **PARTIES**

12 4. Plaintiff CITY PRINTS, LLC, (“CITY”) is a corporation existing under the
13 laws of the state of New York.

14 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that METRO 22,
15 INC., individually and doing business as DIVA COLLECTION, (collectively
16 “METRO”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of
17 New York, with its principal place of business located at 1400 Broadway, Suite 814,
18 New York, New York 10018, and is doing business in and with the state of
19 California.

20 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
21 BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY DIRECT CORP. (“BURLINGTON”) is a
22 corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of California, with its
23 principal place of business located at 1840 Century Park East, 17th Floor, Los
24 Angeles, California 90067 and is doing business in and with the state of California.

25 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
26 ZULILY, INC., (“ZULILY”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws
27 of the state of Washington, with its principal place of business located at 2200 First
28

1 Avenue South, Seattle, Washington 98134 and is doing business in and with the state
2 of California.

3 8. Defendants DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are other parties not yet
4 identified who have infringed Plaintiff's copyrights, have contributed to the
5 infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights, or have engaged in one or more of the
6 wrongful practices alleged herein. The true names, whether corporate, individual or
7 otherwise, of Defendants 1 through 10, inclusive, are presently unknown to Plaintiff,
8 which therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names, and will seek leave to
9 amend this Complaint to show their true names and capacities when same have been
10 ascertained.

11 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times
12 relevant hereto each of the Defendants was the agent, affiliate, officer, director,
13 manager, principal, alter-ego, and/or employee of the remaining Defendants and was
14 at all times acting within the scope of such agency, affiliation, alter-ego relationship
15 and/or employment; and actively participated in or subsequently ratified and
16 adopted, or both, each and all of the acts or conduct alleged, with full knowledge of
17 all the facts and circumstances, including, but not limited to, full knowledge of each
18 and every violation of Plaintiff's rights and the damages to Plaintiff proximately
19 caused thereby.

20 **CLAIMS RELATED TO DESIGN P11193**

21 10. Prior to the conduct complained of herein, Plaintiff composed an original
22 two-dimensional artwork for purposes of textile printing. It allocated this artwork
23 Plaintiff's internal design number P11193 (hereinafter "Subject Design A"). This
24 artwork was a creation of Plaintiff's design team, and is, and at all relevant times
25 was, owned exclusively by Plaintiff.

26 11. Plaintiff applied for and received a United States Copyright Registration
27 for the Subject Design A.

12. Prior to the acts complained of herein, Plaintiff sampled and sold fabric bearing Subject Design A to numerous parties in the fashion and apparel industries.

13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, following this distribution of fabric bearing the Subject Design A by Plaintiff, METRO and certain DOE Defendants created, sold, manufactured, caused to be manufactured, imported and distributed fabric or garments comprised of fabric bearing an unauthorized reproduction of Subject Design A (“Subject Product A”) to retailers including but not limited to BURLINGTON and ZULILY, that then sold said garments to the public.

14. A comparison of the Subject Design A and Subject Product A is set forth below. It is apparent that the elements, composition, colors, arrangement, layout, and appearance of the designs are substantially similar.

SUBJECT DESIGN A	SUBJECT PRODUCT A
	

1 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and
2 each of them, have committed copyright infringement with actual or constructive
3 knowledge of Plaintiff's rights and/or in blatant disregard for Plaintiff's rights, as
4 METRO had taken samples of the Subject Design A directly from CITY prior to the
5 acts of infringement. As such, said acts of copyright infringement were, and continue
6 to be, willful, intentional and malicious, subjecting Defendants, and each of them, to
7 liability for statutory damages under Section 504(c)(2) of the Copyright Act in the
8 sum of up to one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) per infringement.

9 **CLAIMS RELATED TO DESIGN P11245**

10 16. Prior to the conduct complained of herein, Plaintiff composed an original
11 two-dimensional artwork for purposes of textile printing. It allocated this artwork
12 Plaintiff's internal design number P11245 (hereinafter "Subject Design B"). This
13 artwork was a creation of Plaintiff's design team, and is, and at all relevant times
14 was, owned exclusively by Plaintiff.

15 17. Plaintiff applied for and received a United States Copyright Registration
16 for the Subject Design B.

17 18. Prior to the acts complained of herein, Plaintiff sampled and sold fabric
18 bearing Subject Design B to numerous parties in the fashion and apparel industries.

19 19. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, following this
20 distribution of fabric bearing the Subject Design B by Plaintiff, METRO and certain
21 DOE Defendants created, sold, manufactured, caused to be manufactured, imported
22 and distributed fabric or garments comprised of fabric bearing an unauthorized
23 reproduction of Subject Design B ("Subject Product B") to retailers including but not
24 limited to BURLINGTON, that then sold said garments to the public.

25 20. A comparison of the Subject Design B and Subject Product B is set forth
26 below. It is apparent that the elements, composition, colors, arrangement, layout, and
27 appearance of the designs are substantially similar.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUBJECT DESIGN B



SUBJECT PRODUCT B

Detail



Garment



1 21. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and
2 each of them, have committed copyright infringement with actual or constructive
3 knowledge of Plaintiff's rights and/or in blatant disregard for Plaintiff's rights, as
4 METRO had taken samples of the Subject Design B directly from CITY prior to the
5 acts of infringement. As such, said acts of copyright infringement were, and continue
6 to be, willful, intentional and malicious, subjecting Defendants, and each of them, to
7 liability for statutory damages under Section 504(c)(2) of the Copyright Act in the
8 sum of up to one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) per infringement.

9 **CLAIMS RELATED TO DESIGN P11383**



10 22. Prior to the conduct complained of herein, Plaintiff composed an original
11 two-dimensional artwork for purposes of textile printing. It allocated this artwork
12 Plaintiff's internal design number P11383 (hereinafter "Subject Design C"). This
13 artwork was a creation of Plaintiff's design team, and is, and at all relevant times
14 was, owned exclusively by Plaintiff.

15 23. Plaintiff applied for and received a United States Copyright Registration
16 for the Subject Design C.

17 24. Prior to the acts complained of herein, Plaintiff sampled and sold fabric
18 bearing Subject Design C to numerous parties in the fashion and apparel industries.

19 25. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, following this
20 distribution of fabric bearing the Subject Design C by Plaintiff, METRO and certain
21 DOE Defendants created, sold, manufactured, caused to be manufactured, imported
22 and distributed fabric or garments comprised of fabric bearing an unauthorized
23 reproduction of Subject Design C ("Subject Product C") to retailers including but not
24 limited to BURLINGTON and ZULILY, that then sold said garments to the public.

25 26. A comparison of the Subject Design C and Subject Product C is set forth
26 below. It is apparent that the elements, composition, colors, arrangement, layout, and
27 appearance of the designs are substantially similar.

SUBJECT DESIGN C	SUBJECT PRODUCT C
	<p>Detail</p>  <p>Garment</p> 

27. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, have committed copyright infringement with actual or constructive knowledge of Plaintiff's rights and/or in blatant disregard for Plaintiff's rights, as METRO had taken samples of the Subject Design C directly from CITY prior to the

1 acts of infringement. As such, said acts of copyright infringement were, and continue
2 to be, willful, intentional and malicious, subjecting Defendants, and each of them, to
3 liability for statutory damages under Section 504(c)(2) of the Copyright Act in the
4 sum of up to one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) per infringement.

5 **CLAIMS RELATED TO DESIGN P1404**

6 28. Prior to the conduct complained of herein, Plaintiff composed an original
7 two-dimensional artwork for purposes of textile printing. It allocated this artwork
8 Plaintiff's internal design number P1404 (hereinafter "Subject Design D"). This
9 artwork was a creation of Plaintiff's design team, and is, and at all relevant times
10 was, owned exclusively by Plaintiff.

11 29. Plaintiff applied for and received a United States Copyright Registration
12 for the Subject Design D.

13 30. Prior to the acts complained of herein, Plaintiff sampled and sold fabric
14 bearing Subject Design D to numerous parties in the fashion and apparel industries.

15 31. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, following this
16 distribution of fabric bearing the Subject Design D by Plaintiff, METRO and certain
17 DOE Defendants created, sold, manufactured, caused to be manufactured, imported
18 and distributed fabric or garments comprised of fabric bearing an unauthorized
19 reproduction of Subject Design D ("Subject Product D") to retailers including but
20 not limited to BURLINGTON, that then sold said garments to the public.

21 32. A comparison of the Subject Design D and Subject Product D is set forth
22 below. It is apparent that the elements, composition, colors, arrangement, layout, and
23 appearance of the designs are substantially similar.

24
25
26 ///

27 ///

SUBJECT DESIGN D	SUBJECT PRODUCT D
	

33. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, have committed copyright infringement with actual or constructive knowledge of Plaintiff's rights and/or in blatant disregard for Plaintiff's rights, as METRO had taken samples of the Subject Design D directly from CITY prior to the acts of infringement. As such, said acts of copyright infringement were, and continue to be, willful, intentional and malicious, subjecting Defendants, and each of them, to liability for statutory damages under Section 504(c)(2) of the Copyright Act in the sum of up to one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) per infringement.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(For Copyright Infringement – Against All Defendants, and Each)

34. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates herein by reference as though fully set forth, the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

35. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, had access to Subject Design A, B, C, and D, (collectively “Subject Designs”) including, without limitation, through (a) access to Plaintiff’s showroom and/or design library; (b) access to illegally distributed copies of the Subject Design by third-party vendors and/or DOE Defendants, including without limitation international and/or overseas converters and printing mills; (c) access to Plaintiff’s strike-offs and samples, and (d) garments manufactured and sold to the public bearing fabric lawfully printed with the Subject Designs by Plaintiff for its customers.

36. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that one or more of the Defendants manufactures garments and/or is a garment vendor. Plaintiff is further informed and believes and thereon alleges that said Defendant(s) has an ongoing business relationship with Defendant retailers, and each of them, and supplied garments to said retailer, which garments infringed the Subject Designs in that said garments were composed of fabric which featured unauthorized print design(s) that were identical or substantially similar to the Subject Designs, or were an illegal derivation or modification thereof.

37. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, infringed Plaintiff’s copyright by creating, making, and/or developing directly infringing and/or derivative works from the Subject Designs and by producing, distributing and/or selling garments which infringe the Subject Designs

1 through a nationwide network of retail stores, catalogues, and through on-line
2 websites.

3 38. Due to Defendants' acts of infringement, Plaintiff has suffered substantial
4 damages to its business in an amount to be established at trial.

5 39. Due to Defendants' acts of infringement, Plaintiff has suffered general and
6 special damages in an amount to be established at trial.

7 40. Due to Defendants' acts of copyright infringement as alleged herein,
8 Defendants, and each of them, have obtained direct and indirect profits they would
9 not otherwise have realized but for their infringement of the Subject Designs. As
10 such, Plaintiff is entitled to disgorgement of Defendants' profits directly and
11 indirectly attributable to Defendants' infringement of the Subject Designs in an
12 amount to be established at trial.

13 41. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and
14 each of them, have committed acts of copyright infringement, as alleged above,
15 which were willful, intentional and malicious, which further subjects Defendants,
16 and each of them, to liability for statutory damages under Section 504(c)(2) of the
17 Copyright Act in the sum of up to one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) per
18 infringement. Within the time permitted by law, Plaintiff will make its election
19 between actual damages and statutory damages.

20 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

21 (For Vicarious and/or Contributory Copyright Infringement - Against All
22 Defendants)

23 42. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates herein by reference as though
24 fully set forth, the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this
25 Complaint.

26 43. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants
27 knowingly induced, participated in, aided and abetted in and profited from the illegal
28

1 reproduction and/or subsequent sales of garments featuring the Subject Designs as
2 alleged herein.

3 44. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and
4 each of them, are vicariously liable for the infringement alleged herein because they
5 had the right and ability to supervise the infringing conduct and because they had a
6 direct financial interest in the infringing conduct.

7 45. By reason of the Defendants', and each of their, acts of contributory and
8 vicarious infringement as alleged above, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to
9 suffer substantial damages to its business in an amount to be established at trial, as
10 well as additional general and special damages in an amount to be established at
11 trial.

12 46. Due to Defendants', and each of their, acts of copyright infringement as
13 alleged herein, Defendants, and each of them, have obtained direct and indirect
14 profits they would not otherwise have realized but for their infringement of the
15 Subject Designs. As such, Plaintiff is entitled to disgorgement of Defendants' profits
16 directly and indirectly attributable to Defendants' infringement of the Subject
17 Designs, in an amount to be established at trial.

18 47. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and
19 each of them, have committed acts of copyright infringement, as alleged above,
20 which were willful, intentional and malicious, which further subjects Defendants,
21 and each of them, to liability for statutory damages under Section 504(c)(2) of the
22 Copyright Act in the sum of up to one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) per
23 infringement. Within the time permitted by law, Plaintiff will make its election
24 between actual damages and statutory damages.

25 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

26 Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:
27

Against All Defendants

48. With Respect to Each Claim for Relief

- a. That Defendants, each of them, and their agents and employees be enjoined from infringing Plaintiff's copyrights in any manner, specifically those for the Subject Designs;
- b. That a constructive trust be imposed over the Infringing Product and any revenues derived from their sales;
- c. That Defendants, and each of them, account to Plaintiff for their profits and any damages sustained by Plaintiff arising from the foregoing acts of infringement, the exact sum to be proven at the time of trial, or, if elected before final judgment, statutory damages as available under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded its attorneys' fees as available under the Copyright Act U.S.C. § 101 et seq.;
- e. That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment interest as allowed by law;
- f. That Plaintiff be awarded the costs of this action; and
- g. That Plaintiff be awarded such further legal and equitable relief as the Court deems proper.

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38 and the 7th Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Dated: January 15, 2016

By: /s/ Scott A. Burroughs
Scott A. Burroughs, Esq.
Trevor W. Barrett, Esq.
DONIGER / BURROUGHS
Attorneys for Plaintiff
CITY PRINTS LLC